UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,703	06/20/2006	Woo-Chul Jung	1455-061783	3491
28289 THE WEBB L	7590 12/27/2007 AW FIRM, P.C.		EXAMINER	
700 KOPPERS BUILDING			AVILA, STEPHEN P	
436 SEVENTH PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/583,703	JUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Avila	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ne 2006.					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r .					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>051507</u> . 6) Other:						

10/583,703

Art Unit: 3617

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 10-15, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leffler et al in view of McClintock and McCallum. Leffler et al disclose the basic claimed structure and method of treating ballast water in a ship including a ballast tank26, an electrolyzer 16 and a pump. Not disclosed by Leffler et al is a second pump and an ac/dc controller. McClintock teaches pumps P. McCallum teaches an ac/dc controller to provide NaOCl in various densities (note the Abstract which discloses a regular and emergency system). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Leffler et al with multiple pumps as taught by McClintock for improved flow and improved chlorination and to provide an electrolysis controller as taught by McCallum for improved user control of the system. Further it would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to form the ballast tank in the lower portion of the ship for improved stability, to use a solenoid valve for reliability and to form the tank of a cylindrical shape for low cost and light weight.
- 3. Claims 2, 7, 16, 18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leffler et al in view of McClintock and McCallum, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kauer et al. Not disclosed by Leffler et al is a bleach sensor. Kauer et al teach a bleach sensor (paragraph 0250). It would have been

10/583,703

Art Unit: 3617

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Leffler et al with a bleach sensor as taught by Kauer et al for improved user control of the system.

- 4. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tighe et al show a pool chlorinator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 571-272-6678. The examiner can normally be-reached on Monday to Thursday from 7 AM to 3 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/583,703 Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. A12/5

Stephen Avila **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3617

6.